1 2	ROBERT J. STEIN, III (CA Bar No. 212495) rstein@AlvaradoSmith.com CLAIRE M. SCHMIDT (CA Bar No. 262946)	
3	cschmidt@AlvaradoSmith.com ALVARADOSMITH	
4	A Professional Corporation 1 MacArthur Place, Suite 200	
5	Santa Ana, California 92707 Tel: (714) 852-6800 Fax: (714) 852-6899	
6	STEVEN P. BLONDER, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> .	
7	MUCH SHELIST, P.C. sblonder@muchshelist.com	
8	191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1800 Chicago, Illinois 60606 312-521-2000	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CURT SCHLESINGER,	
10	PETER LO RE, JAMES ROTH, ADAM RUSSEL AGHCHAY and The Certified Class	L, MARYAM
11	CUIDEDIAD COURT OF TH	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	
13	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
14	CENTRAL C	CIVIL WEST
15	CURT SCHLESINGER, PETER LO RE,	<b>CASE NO.:</b> BC 304565
16	JAMES ROTH, ADAM RUSSELL, MARYAM AGHCHAY, on behalf of themselves and The	Assigned to: Judge Kenneth R. Freeman
17	Certified Class,	PLAINTIFFS' AND THE CLASS'
18	Plaintiffs,	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF ERIC S. FULLER
19	V.	DATED SEPTEMBER 10, 2014,
20	TICKETMASTER, a Delaware Corporation,	REGARDING HIS OBJECTION TO FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
21	Defendants.	SETTLEMENT
22		<b>DATE:</b> January 13, 2015
23		DATE: January 13, 2015 TIME: 10:00 a.m. PLACE: Dept. 310
24		TRIAL DATE: Vacated
25		ACTION FILED: Vacated October 21, 2003
26		
27		
28	///	
ļļ.		

Fuller Declaration	Grounds for Objection:	Ruling on Objection:
Material Objection To:		
1. Paragraph 4, page 4:11-	-13: Relevance, speculation,	Sustained:
"This coupon only Settler	ment foundation, conclusory	Overruled:
unfairly allows Ticketma	ster	
to keep all of its more tha	n	
\$587,000,000 in ill-gotter	n	
funds without disgorging		
them to any of the person	ns .	
who have been directly		
economically harmed."		
2. Paragraph 4, page 4:21-	-24: Relevance, foundation,	Sustained:
"Just this week, on	erroneous, hearsay	Overruled:
September 8, 2014, I		
purchased tickets at 17		
separate venues for which	n the	
average Order Processing	5	
Fee charged by Ticketma	ster	
was \$5.40. (See Lodged		
copies of purchases mark	ed	
as Exhibit B )"		
3. Paragraph 4, page 4:24-	-25: Hearsay, foundation,	Sustained:
"Seven of the Order	relevance	Overruled:
Processing Fees were \$6	or	
more, with the highest ch	arge	
being \$6.50."		

1	4. Paragraph 4, page 4:26-28:	Foundation, conslusory,	Sustained:
2	"There is little value	relevance	Overruled:
3	conferred upon a class which		
4	receives a \$2.25 coupon but		
5	to redeem it has to pay a new		
6	Order Processing Fee which		
7	is \$1.40 on average more		
8	than the \$4 which was		
9	previously charged."		
0 5	5. Paragraph 4, page 5:2-3: "I	Foundation, conclusory	Sustained:
1	is predictable what will		Overruled:
2	happen to the Order		
3	Processing Fee when		
4	Ticketmaster sends out the		
5	coupons it proposes in		
6	settlement."		
7 6	6. Paragraph 4, page 5:4-5:	Foundation, conclusory	Sustained:
8	"Ticketmaster will increase	·	Overruled:
9	the Order Processing Fee so	4.	
0	as to make the net effect of		
1	the coupon redemption from		
2	this settlement profitable."		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
		2	

7.	Paragraph 4, page 5:5-7:	Foundation, relevance,	Sustained:
	"Already, this small		Overruled:
	sampling shows the average		
	Order Processing Fee		
THE PARTY OF THE P	average increased \$1.40 from		
	\$4.00 to \$5.40 over the prior		
	average for the loss suffered		
	by the class."		
8.	Paragraph 4, page 5:7-10:	Foundation, conclusory	Sustained:
	"This increase of \$1.40 to the		Overruled:
	Order Processing Fee more		
	than wipes out the 75 cent		
	increase in the value of the	~.	
	proposed coupons in the new		
	settlement (\$2.25) over that		
	proposed in the rejected		
	settlement (\$1.50)."		
9.	Paragraph 4, page 5:10-13:	Foundation, conclusory	Sustained:
	"This increase leaves		Overruled:
	Ticketmaster with an		
	additional profit of 65 cents		
	per coupon redemption from		
	the newly proposed		
	settlement over what was		
	previously proposed and		
	rejected by this Court."		

does not fairly address the California's Cy Pres fund requirements making a donation of only \$3,000,000 (paid in installments over three years) without setting a mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  Misstates the facts, foundation Sustained: Overruled:  Overruled:	0. Paragraph 4, page 6:1-4:	Misstates the facts (no	Sustained:
California's Cy Pres fund requirements making a donation of only \$3,000,000 (paid in installments over three years) without setting a mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  Misstates the facts, foundation Sustained:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	"The Settlement Agreement	settlement pool), foundation	Overruled:
fund requirements making a donation of only \$3,000,000 (paid in installments over three years) without setting a mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6: "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	does not fairly address the		
donation of only \$3,000,000 (paid in installments over three years) without setting a mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6: "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	California's Cy Pres		,
(paid in installments over three years) without setting a mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6: "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	fund requirements making a		
three years) without setting a mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	donation of only \$3,000,000		
mechanism to deliver the cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	(paid in installments over		
cash value of any unclaimed settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres  Misstates the facts, foundation Overruled:	three years) without setting a		
settlement pool into a proper Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres  Misstates the facts, foundation Overruled:  Overruled:	mechanism to deliver the		
Cy Pres fund."  1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres  Misstates the facts, foundation Sustained:  Overruled:  Overruled:	cash value of any unclaimed		
1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:  "The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres  Misstates the facts, foundation Sustained:  Overruled:	settlement pool into a proper		
"The Settlement Agreement represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	Cy Pres fund."		
represents the value to be delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	1. Paragraph 4, page 6:4-6:	Misstates the facts, foundation	Sustained:
delivered to the class as \$45 million including Cy Pres	"The Settlement Agreement		Overruled:
million including Cy Pres	represents the value to be		
	delivered to the class as \$45		
	million including Cy Pres		
beneficiaries."	beneficiaries."		
	•		

1	12. Paragraph 4, page 6:13-16:	Foundation, speculation,	Sustained:
2	"Ticketmaster is actually	conclusory	Overruled:
3	seeking court approval to		
4	charge the settlement class		
5	both a convenience fee and		
6	an order processing fee for		
	-		
7	any tickets it contributes to		
8	the settlement pool to offset		
9	the very real prospect that		
10	few people will be interested		
11	in their token value discount		
12	codes."		
13	13. Paragraph 4, page 6:17-18:	Hearsay, foundation,	Sustained:
14	"One reason few codes may	speculation	Overruled:
15	be redeemed is that		
16	Ticketmaster sets a very		
17	short time fuse when shows		
18	go on sale."		
19	14. Paragraph 4, page 6:18-20:	Foundation, speculation,	Sustained:
20	"If someone goes onto		Overruled:
21	Ticketmaster for a high		
22	demand show and actually is		
23	able to get tickets into their		
24	cart, they have between 90		·
25	seconds and 2 minutes to		
26	push the buy button."		
27			
28			

1	15. Paragraph 4, page 6:20-22:	Foundation, speculation,	Sustained:
2	"Few people in that situation	conclusory	Overruled:
3	will risk losing their tickets		
4	to the countdown time while		
5	they search for the code		
6	emailed them by		
7	Ticketmaster at a prior time."		
8	16. Paragraph 5, page 6:24-25:	Foundation, relevance	Sustained:
9	"Additionally, the ticket		Overruled:
10	market place has changed		
11	substantially since we were		
12	here		
13	last."		
14	17. Paragraph 5, page 6:25-27:	Foundation, hearsay,	Sustained:
15	"Now, Ticketmaster itself is	conclusory, speculation	Overruled:
16	a huge player in resale		
17	tickets. Ticketmaster sends		
18	emails to brokers reminding		
19	them to buy tickets for resale,		
20	and to individuals asking		
21	them to list tickets for		
22	resale."		
23	18. Paragraph 5, page 6:27: "In	Foundation, speculation	Sustained:
24	each of these transactions		Overruled:
25	Ticketmaster collects		
26	substantial fees."		
27			
28			

أ			
1	19. Paragraph 5, page 6:27-28	Hearsay, relevance,	Sustained:
2	and page 7:1-2: "For ticket	foundation	Overruled:
3	brokers, Ticketmaster,		
4	through its Event Inventory		
5	subsidiary charges a fee of		
6	\$388 per month plus a sliding		
7	scale percentage of the sale		
8	price to be granted the		
9	privilege of selling resale		
.0	tickets which are displayed		
1	directly on the Ticketmaster		
2	site alongside tickets sold		
3	directly from the venue."	en e	
4	20. Paragraph 5, page 7:2-5:	Foundation	Sustained:
5	"Given this push by		Overruled:
6	Ticketmaster to develop a		
7	thriving secondary market, it		
8	is disingenuous at best for		
9	them to deny reimbursement	·	
0	to those individuals and		
1	ticket brokers who paid a	:	
2	disproportionately high		
3	percentage of the improper		
4	Order Processing Fees."		
5	21. Paragraph 6, page 7:6:	Foundation, relevance	Sustained:
6	"The secondary market place		Overruled:
7	is both large and reputable."	•••	
8			

1	22. Paragraph 6, page 7:6-8:	Foundation, relevance	Sustained:
2	"Other major players than	,	Overruled:
3	Ticketmaster include		
4	Stubhub which is a wholly		
5	owned subsidiary of Ebay,		
6	Vivid Seats, RazorGator,		
7	Ticket City, Ticket Network		
8	and Ticket Evolution."		
9			
10	23. Paragraph 8, page 7:12-14:	Foundation, speculation	Sustained:
11	"The \$38.25 proposed (plus	•	Overruled:
12	\$38.25 in more limited Live		
13	Nation coupons) for each		,
14	individual class member in		
15	the current proposed		
16	settlement represents only a		
17	tiny fraction of the damages		
18	suffered by me, and other		·
19	individuals and ticket brokers		
20	who purchase many tickets."		
21	24. Paragraph 10, page 7:25-	Foundation, speculation	Sustained:
22	26: "Ticketmaster retains the		Overruled:
23	more than \$587,000,000 (half		
24	billion dollars) it improperly		
25	charged more than 50 million		
26	people while telling them an		
27	affirmative lie."	÷	·
28			

27

1	29. Paragraph 11, page 8:10-	Argumentative, foundation	Sustained:
2	13: "Deducting from \$35.4		Overruled:
3	million the approximately		
4	\$16.5.million which class		
5	counsel seeks, the \$3 million		
6	being paid to University of		
7	California at Irvine and \$1		
8	million which I estimate as		
9	the costs of publication and		
10	class administration, there is		
11	less than \$15 million in value	·	
12	for the settlement class which		
13	suffered a loss in excess of a		
14	half billion dollars."		1
15	30. Paragraph 11, page 8:13-	Misstates the evidence,	Sustained:
16	14: "Additionally, by this	foundation	Overruled:
17	math, class counsel seeks		
18	approximately half the value		
19	of the entire recovery."		
20	31. Paragraph 14, page 9:17-	Foundation, speculation	Sustained:
21	18: "Ticket brokers in		Overruled:
22	particular suffered a real		
23	economic loss because of		
24	their high volume of ticket		
25	purchases during the claim		
26	period."		
27	·		
, l			

32. Paragraph 14, page 9:18-	Incomplete hypothetical,	Sustained:
21: "By way of example, a	foundation	Overruled:
broker who bought just 100		
ticket orders per month for		
10 years of the class period		
would have been charged the		
order processing fee 12,000		
times. (100 orders x 12		
months = 1,200 orders per		
year. 1,200 orders x 10 years		
= 12,000 orders during the		
class period.)"		
33. Paragraph 14, page 9:21-	Incomplete hypothetical	Sustained:
23: "Assuming an average		Overruled:
order processing fee of \$4.00		
means this broker was		
improperly charged \$48,000		
by Ticketmaster, yet through		
the settlement, that broker		
would only recover \$78.50."		
34. Paragraph 14, page 9:23-	Incomplete hypothetical	Sustained:
24: "The broker would get		Overruled:
coupons for \$38.25 plus		
another \$38.25 in more		
restrictive Live Nation		
coupons against future		
purchases."		
	21: "By way of example, a broker who bought just 100 ticket orders per month for 10 years of the class period would have been charged the order processing fee 12,000 times. (100 orders x 12 months = 1,200 orders per year. 1,200 orders x 10 years = 12,000 orders during the class period.)"  33. Paragraph 14, page 9:21-23: "Assuming an average order processing fee of \$4.00 means this broker was improperly charged \$48,000 by Ticketmaster, yet through the settlement, that broker would only recover \$78.50."  34. Paragraph 14, page 9:23-24: "The broker would get coupons for \$38.25 plus another \$38.25 in more restrictive Live Nation coupons against future	21: "By way of example, a broker who bought just 100 ticket orders per month for 10 years of the class period would have been charged the order processing fee 12,000 times. (100 orders x 12 months = 1,200 orders per year. 1,200 orders x 10 years = 12,000 orders during the class period.)"  33. Paragraph 14, page 9:21-23: "Assuming an average order processing fee of \$4.00 means this broker was improperly charged \$48,000 by Ticketmaster, yet through the settlement, that broker would only recover \$78.50."  34. Paragraph 14, page 9:23-24: "The broker would get coupons for \$38.25 plus another \$38.25 in more restrictive Live Nation coupons against future

Sustained: \_\_\_\_ 35. Paragraph 14, page 9:24-Foundation, speculation, Overruled: 2 25: "Such a result is incomplete hypothetical, 3 objectively unfair." conclusory 4 36. Paragraph 18, page 10:5-9: Relevance 5 Sustained: 6 "Alternatively, I propose as Overruled: 7 an appropriate remedy that a 8 subclass of individuals and 9 ticket brokers, whether full 10 time or hobby, be established and that final approval of this 11 settlement be stayed to allow 12 13 the subclass of ticket brokers 14 to negotiate with the parties 15 currently named in this lawsuit to attempt to reach a 16 17 settlement that is fair to the 18 approximately 385,000 19 individuals and tickets 20 brokers whose losses exceed 21 the best \$76.50 maximum 22 value offered by this settlement." . 23 24 Sustained: 37. Paragraph 19, page 10:10: Relevance 25 "Along those lines, I stand 26 Overruled: 27 ready and willing to act as a 28 class representative."

ALVARADOSMITH
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
SANTA ANA

PLAINTIFFS' EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF ERIC S. FULLER DATED SEPTEMBER 10, 2014, RE HIS OBJECTION TO FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 4117843.1 -- NRJS1068.1

1	38. Paragraph 19, page 10:10-	Foundation, speculation	Sustained:
2	13: "As a big fan of live		Overruled:
3	music who attends at least 20		
4	concerts per year personally,	·	
5	and as a ticket broker, my		
6	claims are typical of those of		
7	other individuals and ticket		
8	brokers who have suffered		
9	substantial losses at the		
10	hands of Ticketmaster's		
11	wrongful conduct."		
12	39. Paragraph 19, page 10:14-	Argumentative, foundation	Sustained:
13	17: "I am prepared to work		Overruled:
14	with counsel to properly		
15	resolve the issues of this		
16	subclass, as this court has		
17	already seen in my prior		
18	objection, and in my motion		
19	to intervene in which I		
20	predicted this same		
21	settlement issue preferring		
22	the casual ticket buyer over		
23	the heavy consumer or ticket		
24	broker would reappear."		
25		I	
26	,		
27			
28			

Hearsay

Sustained:

Overruled:

27

28

2

40. Paragraph 20, page 10:20-

21: "My list of supplemental

	43. Paragraph 23, page 11:1-2:	foundation, speculation,	Sustained:
2	"Upon information and		Overruled:
3	belief, in 2010 Ticketmaster	•••	
1	sold nearly 119,000,000		
5	tickets, averaging		
5	approximately 325,000		
7	tickets per day."		
3	44. Paragraph 24, page 11:3-4:	Foundation, speculation,	Sustained:
)	"Upon information and	relevance	Overruled:
)	belief, typically,		
1	Ticketmaster does not allow		
2	more than 8 tickets to be		
3	purchased per order."		
1	45. Paragraph 25, page 11:5-8:	Incomplete hypothetical,	Sustained:
5	"Using the above numbers, in	foundation, speculation	Overruled:
5	2010; Ticketmaster sold, on		
7	average at least 40,000 orders		
3	per day. An improper order		
)	processing fee averaging \$4		
)	would have yielded at least		•
	\$160,000 per day, or		
2	\$14,600,000 in improper		
3	charges by Ticketmaster to		
	its customers (class		
	members) in 2010."		

1	46. Paragraph 26, page 11:9-	Misstates the evidence,	Sustained:
2	10: "The proposed settlement	foundation	Overruled:
3	contemplates a claim period		
4	of approximately 13 years."		
5	47. Paragraph 26, page 11:10-	misleading	Sustained:
6	11: "Aside from the		Overruled:
7	proposed attorney fees,		
8	plaintiffs are to be		
9	compensated with coupons		
10	for future discounts."		
11	48. Paragraph 27, page 11:12-	Relevance, misstates the	Sustained:
12	<b>14:</b> "I believe that to	evidence, foundation	Overruled:
13	accomplish the goal of a Cy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14	Pres fund as articulated in		
15	Code Civ. Proc. §384, to		
16	'further the purposes of the		
17	underlying causes of action,		
18	or to promote justice for all		
19	Californians,' the		
20	contribution should be		
21	entirely made in cash."		
22	49. Paragraph 27, page 11:14-	Foundation, speculation	Sustained:
23	15: "Ticketmaster is		Overruled:
24	retaining fees it should not		
25	have taken, from millions of		
26	sales over 13 1/2 years."		
27			